

Appendix 2: Schedule of Responses in relation to Contaminated Land Strategy

Reference	Respondent	Comments	Response
CI/1	Local Authority	<p>Comments made on following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • review requested on wording and format. • requested clarification on legislation from the Environmental Permitting Regulations 2016 to the amended 2018 Regulations. • raised changes to guidance on contaminated land from CLR11 guidance to Land Contamination Risk Management Guidance. 	<p>Strategy amended.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amendments to wording and clarification on legislation and guidance made.
CI/2	Public Health England	<p>Positive comments, highlighting it is a clear document that is well written.</p>	<p>No amendment required.</p>
CI/3	Environment Agency	<p>Requested:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • clarification in the Strategy concerning Environment Agency's role as advisor on contaminated land. • references included concerning pollution to Controlled Waters. • references to be reviewed. 	<p>Strategy amended.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greater detail provided on the role of the Environment Agency. • Clarification on the pollution of controlled waters within Part 2a. • References reviewed.
CI/4	The Coal Authority	<p>Reviewed document and have no specific comments to make.</p>	<p>No amendment required.</p>
CI/5	Developer	<p>Comments made on the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecology: comments made in relation to ecology and areas of special interest. 	<p>No amendment required. Response provided to advise that Strategy identifies that contaminants in ecological sites may not be remediated due to their ecological significance for supporting rare species. However the planning regime would consider the merits of the development against the National Planning Policy Framework.</p>

